Online Appendix F

for "Building Credit Histories" by Natalia Kovrijnykh, Igor Livshits, and Ariel Zetlin-Jones

F Proofs and Equilibrium Constructions for the General Model

F.1 Preliminaries

The following expressions will be useful for our equilibrium construction throughout the rest of this appendix. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(g|A) &= \frac{\alpha(1+\rho)}{\alpha(1+\rho) + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}, \ \Pr(b|B) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1+\rho)}{\alpha(1-\rho) + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)}, \\ \Pr(g|B) &= \frac{\alpha(1-\rho)}{\alpha(1-\rho) + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)}, \ \Pr(b|A) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}{\alpha(1+\rho) + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}, \\ \Pr(g|AA) &= \frac{\alpha(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}, \ \Pr(b|BB) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1-\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2}, \\ \Pr(g|BB) &= \alpha, \ \Pr(b|AB) = 1-\alpha, \\ \Pr(g|BB) &= \frac{\alpha(1-\rho)^2}{\alpha(1-\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2}, \ \Pr(b|AA) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$Pr(AA|A) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha (1+\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}{\alpha (1+\rho) + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)},$$

$$Pr(AB|A) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1+\rho)(1-\rho)}{\alpha (1+\rho) + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}.$$
(A6)
(A7)

Moreover,

$$\Pr(\operatorname{repay} \varphi e_{h} | AA) = \delta \Pr(g | AA), \quad \Pr(\operatorname{repay} \varphi e_{m} | AA) = 1 - \delta \Pr(b | AA),$$
$$\Pr(\operatorname{repay} \varphi e_{h} | AB) = \delta \Pr(g | AB), \quad \Pr(\operatorname{repay} \varphi e_{m} | AB) = 1 - \delta \Pr(b | AB).$$

Recall that we restrict stage-1 offers to φe_1 for $e_1 \in E$, and given a history φe_1 , we restrict stage-2 offers to $\varphi(e_2 - e_1)$ for $e_2 \in E$ and $e_2 > e_1$.

F.2 Symmetric-Information Outcomes

To establish a benchmark for our analysis, consider a variant of our model environment in which all lenders' signals are public information. The multi-stage nature of period I is irrelevant in this setting, as there is no need to aggregate any information. We can thus simply restrict attention to equilibria where all borrowing occurs in the last stage of the period, which avoids any concerns of debt dilution. All loans are then competitively priced, and we can simply think of the borrowers as choosing their preferred loan size, given actuarially fair interest rates appropriate for the specific type of the borrower.

All of the equilibrium examples in the paper share one key feature of the symmetricinformation benchmark. Namely, the equilibrium outcome in the limiting case as ρ approaches 1 features full separation in loan sizes between the three borrower types. I.e., for ρ arbitrarily close to 1, BB-borrowers take on a small loan, AB-borrowers choose a medium loan, and AA-borrowers get a large loan in the equilibrium of the symmetricinformation environment. The restrictions on the parameter values that yield this outcome, which we will sometimes refer to as lmh, are as follows.

Assumption 1 Assume that parameter values satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $(1-\delta(1-\alpha)) e_m > e_\ell$, or, equivalently, $q_m^{AB} e_m > \bar{q} e_\ell$;
- (ii) $(1 \delta(1 \alpha)) e_m > \delta \alpha e_h$, or, equivalently, $q_m^{AB} e_m > q_h^{AB} e_h$;
- (*iii*) $\delta e_{\rm h} > e_{\rm m}$;
- (*iv*) $e_{\ell} > (1-\delta)e_{m}$.

We explain both formally and intuitively why these conditions imply the lmh outcome in the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 4 *If parameter values satisfy Assumption 1, then the symmetric-information equilibrium outcome is:*

- (*i*) for ρ arbitrarily close to 1, BB-borrowers get ($\varphi e_{\ell}, \bar{q}$), AB-borrowers get ($\varphi e_{m}, q_{m}^{AB}$), and AA-borrowers get ($\varphi e_{h}, q_{h}^{AA}$);
- (ii) for $\rho = 0$, all borrowers receive a medium-size loan.

Proof. First, note that the symmetric structure of the signals is such that the posterior regarding the underlying state of AB-borrowers is the same as the uninformed prior and thus does not depend on the precision of the signal. Hence, parts (i) and (ii) of Assumption 1 guarantee that AB-borrowers choose the medium-size loan under actuarially

fair loan pricing. But these same conditions then guarantee that all borrowers choose medium-size loans when signals are completely uninformative.

Assumption 1 (iii) guarantees that, when signals are perfectly informative, AA-borrowers take on a large loan if all prices are actuarially fair. Assumption 1 (iv) guarantees that BB-borrowers in this situation choose the small loan.

Note that this set of conditions also ensures that AA-borrowers do not choose the small loan. To see this, note that the condition for AA to prefer a medium loan to a small one is $[1 - \delta \Pr(b|AA)]e_m > e_\ell$. Note that $\Pr(b|AA) < 1 - \alpha$ whenever $\rho > 0$. Hence Assumption 1 (i) ensures that AA-borrowers prefer a medium loan to a small one.

Corollary 1 If parameter values satisfy Assumption 1, then BB-borrower prefers a medium-size loan to a large loan if both loans are priced actuarially fairly. I.e., $q_m^{BB}e_m \ge q_h^{BB}e_h$.

Proof. By Assumption 1 (ii), $e_m/e_h \ge q_h^{AB}/q_m^{AB}$. In order to establish our claim, we need to show that $q_h^{AB}/q_m^{AB} \ge q_h^{BB}/q_m^{BB}$, which we do by establishing $q_m^{BB}/q_m^{AB} \ge q_h^{BB}/q_h^{AB}$. The actuarially fair prices are

$$\begin{split} q^{AB}_{m} &= \bar{q} \left(1 - \delta(1 - \alpha) \right), \\ q^{AB}_{h} &= \bar{q} \delta \alpha, \\ q^{BB}_{m} &= \bar{q} \left[1 - \delta \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2}}{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} + \alpha(1 - \rho)^{2}} \right], \\ q^{BB}_{h} &= \bar{q} \delta \frac{\alpha(1 - \rho)^{2}}{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} + \alpha(1 - \rho)^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Plugging these in, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{q_{m}^{BB}}{q_{m}^{AB}} &- \frac{q_{h}^{BB}}{q_{h}^{AB}} = \frac{1}{1 - \delta(1 - \alpha)} \left[1 - \delta \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2}}{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} + \alpha(1 - \rho)^{2}} \right] - \frac{(1 - \rho)^{2}}{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} + \alpha(1 - \rho)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} + \alpha(1 - \rho)^{2} - \delta(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} - (1 - \delta(1 - \alpha))(1 - \rho)^{2}}{(1 - \delta(1 - \alpha))((1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} + \alpha(1 - \rho)^{2})} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} - (1 - \delta)(1 - \alpha)(1 - \rho)^{2}}{(1 - \delta(1 - \alpha))((1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^{2} + \alpha(1 - \rho)^{2})} \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Proposition 5 Suppose parameter values satisfy Assumption 1. Then

(i) there exists $\rho^{BB} \in (0,1)$ such that BB-borrowers take on $(\varphi e_{\ell}, \bar{q})$ in the symmetric-information equilibrium whenever $\rho > \rho^{BB}$, and they choose $(\varphi e_m, q_m^{BB})$ whenever $\rho < \rho^{BB}$;

(ii) there exists $\rho^{AA} \in (0,1)$ such that AA-borrowers take on $(\varphi e_h, q_h^{AA})$ in the symmetricinformation equilibrium whenever $\rho > \rho^{AA}$, and they choose $(\varphi e_m, q_m^{AA})$ whenever $\rho < \rho^{AA}$.

Proof. Since borrowers are impatient, they simply maximize the size of the loan advance they receive in period I. The medium-size loan yields $\varphi e_m q_m^{\omega}$ to a type- ω borrower, where $q_m^{\omega} = \bar{q} (1 - \delta \Pr(b|\omega))$.

(i) Note that Pr(b|BB) is increasing in ρ , and thus q_m^{BB} is monotonically decreasing in ρ . On the other hand, the advance on the safe loan ($\varphi e_{\ell}, \bar{q}$) is not affected by ρ . Since the medium-size loan is preferred by BB-borrowers when $\rho = 0$, and the small loan is preferred when $\rho = 1$ (as was established in Proposition 4), there must be an interior ρ^{BB} , as described in the statement of this proposition.

(ii) The advance on the large loan is given by $\varphi e_h q_h^{\omega}$, where $q_h^{\omega} = \bar{q} \delta Pr(g|\omega)$. Just like in the case above, it is straightforward to show that $\varphi e_h q_h^{AA} - \varphi e_m q_m^{AA}$ is strictly increasing in ρ . And since the advance to an AA-borrower from a large loan is greater than that from a medium-size loan when $\rho = 1$, and since the opposite is true when $\rho = 0$ (both premises are guaranteed by Proposition 4), there must exist an interior ρ^{AA} described in the statement of this proposition. Making this argument more explicit, the large loan yields a (weakly) larger loan advance whenever

$$0 \leq q_{h}^{AA} e_{h} - q_{m}^{AA} e_{m} = \bar{q} \delta \Pr(g|AA) e_{h} - \bar{q} [\Pr(g|AA) + (1 - \delta)(1 - \Pr(g|AA)] e_{m}$$

$$= \bar{q} \frac{\delta \alpha (1 + \rho)^{2} e_{h} - \alpha (1 + \rho)^{2} e_{m} - (1 - \delta)(1 - \alpha)(1 - \rho)^{2} e_{m}}{\alpha (1 + \rho)^{2} + (1 - \alpha)(1 - \rho)^{2}}$$

$$= \bar{q} \frac{\alpha (\delta e_{h} - e_{m}) y - (1 - \delta)(1 - \alpha) e_{m}}{\alpha y + 1 - \alpha}, \qquad (A8)$$

where $y = (1 + \rho)^2/(1 - \rho)^2$ is strictly increasing in ρ . The derivative of the right-hand side of (A8) with respect to y is

$$\bar{\mathfrak{q}}\alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{(\delta e_{h}-e_{m})+(1-\delta)e_{m}}{(\alpha y+1-\alpha)^{2}}=\bar{\mathfrak{q}}\alpha(1-\alpha)\delta\frac{e_{h}-e_{m}}{(\alpha y+1-\alpha)^{2}}>0$$

Thus $q_h^{AA}e_h - q_m^{AA}e_m$ is strictly increasing in ρ , implying that there is a unique root between 0 and 1. We denote this root by ρ_{AA} .

F.3 Equilibrium Outcome 1: 1mh without Cross-Subsidization

We construct the equilibrium as follows.

F.3.1 On-Path Actions

Stage 1:

- B-lenders make no offers;
- A-lenders offer $(\varphi e_l, q_h^{AA})$;
- Only borrowers with two such offers (AA-borrowers) accept one.

Stage 2:

- A-lenders whose offer was not accepted, but observe an accepted offer from the *opposite* class, learn that the borrower is AA and offer $(\varphi(e_h e_\ell), q_h^{AA})$. Such an offer is accepted by AA-borrowers.
- A-lenders whose offer was not accepted, but who observe an accepted offer from *their* class, offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB})$. (Note that on the equilibrium path, this offer is not accepted by any borrowers. However, we specify this offer in order to ensure that AB-borrowers do not mimic AA-borrowers.)
- A-lenders who observe no accepted offer learn that the borrower is AB, and offer $(\varphi e_m, q_m^{AB})$. This offer is accepted by the AB-borrowers.
- B-lenders, who *never* observe an accepted offer in stage 1 (on-path), offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, \overline{q})$. This offer is accepted by the BB-borrowers.

Before proceeding, note a couple of things about this equilibrium. First, this equilibrium is symmetric: lenders' offers are a function of their signal and public information only, so we forego class identifiers. Second, on path, this equilibrium features full information for the borrower after stage 1. By observing the number of offers that she receives in stage 1, a borrower is certain whether she is AA (offers from all lenders), AB (offers from only one class of lenders), or BB (no offers).

F.3.2 Equilibrium Payoffs

The payoffs to borrowers in equilibrium are as follows:

• AA-borrowers: $\varphi e_{\ell} q_{h}^{AA} + \varphi (e_{h} - e_{\ell}) q_{h}^{AA} = \varphi e_{h} q_{h}^{AA}$;

- AB-borrowers: $\varphi e_m q_m^{AB}$;
- BB-borrowers: $\varphi e_{\ell} \overline{q}$.

F.3.3 Equilibrium Conditions

Before we proceed with construction of beliefs and (off-path) strategies, we state necessary conditions on the model parameters so that our constructed equilibrium candidate is indeed an equilibrium. We later show that these conditions together with Assumption 1 are sufficient to ensure that relevant incentive constraints are satisfied.

Condition 1 Suppose that the model parameters satisfy

(i)

$$\frac{\delta\alpha(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2+(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}\leqslant 1-\delta(1-\alpha),$$

or, equivalently, $q_h^{AA} \leq q_m^{AB}$; this ensures that the AB-borrowers do not accept a small loan in stage 1.

(ii)

$$[1-\delta(1-\alpha)](e_{\mathfrak{m}}-e_{\ell}) \geqslant \delta\alpha(e_{\mathfrak{h}}-e_{\ell}),$$

or, equivalently, $q_m^{AB}(e_m - e_\ell) \ge q_h^{AB}(e_h - e_\ell)$; this ensures that upon acceptance of a small loan in stage 1, prices are such that an AB-borrower is better off being topped up to a medium loan rather than a large one. (Note that this condition implies that $q_m^{AB}e_m > q_h^{AB}e_h$, which is Assumption 1(ii).)

(iii)

$$\frac{\alpha(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2} (e_{\rm h} - e_{\ell}) \geqslant \left[1 - \delta \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}{\alpha(1-\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2} \right] (e_{\rm m} - e_{\ell}),$$

or, equivalently, $q_h^{AA}(e_h - e_\ell) \ge q_m^{AA}(e_m - e_\ell)$; this ensures that upon acceptance of a small loan in stage 1, prices are such that an AA-borrower is better off being topped up to a large loan rather than a medium one.

(iv)

$$e_{\ell} \geqslant \left(1 - \delta \frac{(1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1-\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2}\right) e_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

or, equivalently, $\bar{q}e_{\ell} \ge q_{m}^{BB}e_{m}$. Note that by Assumption 1 (ii) and Corollary 1 we also have $q_{m}^{BB}e_{m} \ge q_{h}^{BB}e_{h}$. Combining, we have $\bar{q}e_{\ell} \ge \max \{q_{m}^{BB}e_{m}, q_{h}^{BB}e_{h}\}$. Thus, the imposed

condition ensures that BB-borrowers prefer to take on a small loan at the risk-free price, rather than a medium or large loan at the actuarially-fair price reflecting their risk.

(v)

$$\frac{\delta\alpha(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2+(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}e_{h} \ge [1-\delta(1-\alpha)]e_{m},$$

or, equivalently, $q_h^{AA}e_h \ge q_m^{AB}e_m$; this ensures that AA-borrowers are better off accepting their stage-1 offer.

F.3.4 Beliefs

We classify out-of-equilibrium histories and beliefs based on the size of the stage-1 loan. In the interest of brevity, for the rest of this appendix when describing beliefs and strategies, we focus on only three possible loan sizes, the ones that may occur as equilibrium outcomes: { $\varphi e_{\ell}, \varphi e_{m}, \varphi e_{h}$ }. The treatment of intermediate loan sizes is a straightforward extension of the construction presented below: loans with $x \in (\varphi e_{\ell}, \varphi e_{m})$ are treated just like medium loans, and loans with $x \in (\varphi e_{m}, \varphi e_{h})$ are treated just like large loans, except they are, of course, topped up to a large loan in the second stage (note that loans smaller than φe_{ℓ} are not possible). This generalization would require that all of the pricing thresholds \hat{q} below are explicitly made functions of the loan size x. The details of this generalization are available from the authors upon request.

- 1. *Small Loans:* Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan (φe_{ℓ} , q).
 - Beliefs of A-lenders when the loan came from the opposite class are

$$\Pr\left(\sigma^{-}=A\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < q_{h}^{AA}, \\ 1 & q \geqslant q_{h}^{AA}; \end{cases}$$

• Beliefs of A-lenders when the loan came from *their* class are

$$\Pr\left(\sigma^{-}=A\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < q_{h}^{AA}, \\ 1 & q \geqslant q_{h}^{AA}; \end{cases}$$

Beliefs of B-lenders when the loan came from the opposite class are

$$\Pr\left(\sigma^{-}=A\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < \max\{q_{h}^{AA}, \hat{q}_{\ell}\}, \\ 1 & q \geqslant \max\{q_{h}^{AA}, \hat{q}_{\ell}\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{q}_{\ell}e_{\ell} + q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{BB}\left(e_{\mathfrak{m}} - e_{\ell}\right) = \bar{q}e_{\ell}$;

• Beliefs of B-lenders when the loan came from *their* class are

$$\Pr\left(\sigma^{-}=A\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < q_{h}^{AA}, \\ 1 & q \geqslant q_{h}^{AA}. \end{cases}$$

- 2. *Medium Loans:* Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$.
 - Beliefs of A-lenders when the loan came from the opposite class are

$$\Pr\left(\sigma^{-}=A\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < q_{h}^{AA}, \\ 1 & q \geqslant q_{h}^{AA}; \end{cases}$$

• Beliefs of A-lenders when the loan came from their class are

$$\Pr\left(\sigma^{-}=A\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < q_{h}^{AA}, \\ 1 & q \geqslant q_{h}^{AA}; \end{cases}$$

• Beliefs of B-lenders when the loan came from the opposite class are

$$Pr\left(\sigma^{-}=A\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < max\{\hat{q}_{m2}, q_{h}^{AA}\}, \\ 1 & q \geqslant max\{\hat{q}_{m2}, q_{h}^{AA}\}; \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{q}_{m2}e_m + q_h^{BB}(e_h - e_m) = \bar{q}e_l$.

• Beliefs of B-lenders when the loan came from their class are

$$\Pr\left(\sigma^{-}=A\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & q < q_{h}^{AA}, \\ 1 & q \geqslant q_{h}^{AA}. \end{cases}$$

- 3. *Large Loans:* Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan (φe_h , q). Then lenders' beliefs in this scenario going forward are irrelevant.
- 4. *No loans*: All lenders believe $Pr(\sigma^- = A) = 0$.

F.3.5 Strategies

Borrowers' (off-path) Strategies in Stage 1 Strategies of borrowers upon observing of-fer(s) in the first stage:⁶⁶

- AA-borrowers: Suppose a borrower observes at least 2N 1 offers of $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q_{h}^{AA})$.
 - Small loan: if one lender offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ with $q \neq q_h^{AA}$, the borrower accepts that offer if and only if $q > q_h^{AA}$.
 - Medium loan: if one lender offers (φe_m , q), the borrower accepts if and only if $q > q_h^{AA}$.
 - Large loan: if one lender offers (φe_h , q), the borrower accepts if and only if $q > q_h^{AA}$.
- AB-borrowers with N offers: Suppose that the borrower receives N 1 offers of $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q_{h}^{AA})$; that is, no B-lenders make offers, but one A-lender offers something off path.
 - Small loan: if one lender offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ with $q \neq q_h^{AA}$, the borrower accepts if $q > q_m^{AB}$.
 - Medium loan: if one lender offers ($\phi e_m, q$), the borrower accepts if $q > \hat{q}_{m1}$ where

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathfrak{m}1}\mathbf{e}_{\mathfrak{m}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathsf{AB}}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathfrak{h}} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathbf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathsf{AB}}\mathbf{e}_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

- Large loan: if one lender offers (φe_h , q), the borrower accepts if $qe_h \ge q_m^{AB}e_m$.
- AB-borrowers with N + 1 offers: Suppose that the borrower receives N offers of $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q_{h}^{AA})$ and one additional offer; that is, one B-lender made an offer.
 - Small loan: if the deviating B-lender offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$, the borrower accepts if and only if $q \ge q_h^{AA}$.
 - Medium loan: if the deviating B-lender offers (φe_m, q), the borrower accepts if and only if q ≥ min{q̂_{m1}, q_h^{AA}}.
 - Large loan: if the deviating B-lender offers $(\varphi e_h, q)$, the borrower accepts if $qe_h \ge q_m^{AB}e_m$.
- BB-borrowers: Suppose that a borrower observes just one offer.

⁶⁶The list below is restricted to histories with a single deviation.

- Small loan: if the deviating B-lender offers (φe_l, q), the borrower accepts if and only if q ≥ q̂_l.
- Medium loan: if the one lender offers (φe_m , q), the borrower accepts if q $\geq \hat{q}_{m2}$.
- Large loan: if the one lender offers $(\varphi e_h, q)$, the borrower accepts if $qe_h \ge \bar{q}e_\ell$.

Lenders' Strategies in Stage 2 We next describe lenders' strategies for any credit history in stage 2 (i.e. any information set of lenders in stage 2).

- 1. *Small loan* $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from stage 1
 - If the first-stage loan came from the other class of lenders, then A-lenders
 - offer $(\varphi(e_h e_\ell), q_h^{AA})$ if $q \ge q_h^{AA}$,

- offer
$$(\varphi(e_m - e_\ell), q_m^{AB})$$
 if $q < q_h^{AA}$

- If the first-stage loan came from their class of lenders, then A-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB})$.
- If the first-stage loan came from the other class of lenders, then B-lenders

- offer
$$(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} - e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB})$$
 if $q \ge \max\{q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{AA}, \hat{q}_{\ell}\}$,
- offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} - e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{BB})$ if $q < \max\{q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{AA}, \hat{q}_{\ell}\}$.

- If the first-stage loan came from their class of lenders, then B-lenders offer $(\varphi (e_m e_\ell), q_m^{BB})$.
- 2. *Medium loan* $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from stage 1
 - If the first-stage loan came from the other class of lenders, then A-lenders
 - offer $(\varphi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{AA})$ if $q \ge q_{h}^{AA}$, - offer $(\varphi(e_{h} - e_{m}), q_{h}^{AB})$ if $q < q_{h}^{AA}$.
 - If the first-stage loan came from their class of lenders, then A-lenders offer $(\varphi (e_h e_m), q_h^{AB})$.
 - If the first-stage loan came from the other class of lenders, then B-lenders

- offer
$$(\varphi(e_{h}-e_{m}), q_{h}^{AB})$$
 if $q \ge q_{h}^{AA}$,

- offer $\left(\phi\left(e_{h}-e_{m}\right),q_{h}^{BB}\right)$ if $q < q_{h}^{AA}$.
- If the first-stage loan came from their class of lenders, then B-lenders offer $(\phi (e_h e_m), q_h^{BB})$.

- 3. *Large loan* from stage 1
 - Lenders make no offers in stage 2 if they see a large loan from stage 1.
- 4. No loan in stage 1
 - A-lenders offer $(\varphi e_m, q_m^{AB})$.
 - B-lenders offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, \bar{q})$.

F.3.6 Incentives

We now verify that given Assumption 1 and Condition 1, the strategies and beliefs described above constitute an equilibrium.

Borrowers' Stage 1 Deviations. Consider first possible deviations by borrowers in stage 1.

1. An AA-borrower could reject both stage 1 offers. Accepting is optimal as long as

$$q_{h}^{AA}e_{h} \geqslant q_{m}^{AB}e_{m}, \tag{A9}$$

which is ensured by Condition 1 (v).

2. An AB-borrower could accept a stage 1 offer. Rejecting is optimal as long as

$$q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}e_{\mathfrak{m}} \geqslant q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{AA}e_{\ell} + q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}(e_{\mathfrak{m}} - e_{\ell}), \qquad (A10)$$

or $q_m^{AB} \geqslant q_h^{AA},$ which is ensured by Condition 1 (i).

Lenders' Stage 1 Deviations. Since we have already specified the borrowers' and lenders' strategies following stage-1 deviation offers, all that remains is to verify that it is not optimal for lenders to deviate in stage 1.

- A-lenders: do they want to offer anything other than $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q_{h}^{AA})$?
 - 1. Small loans
 - An offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ with $q < q_h^{AA}$ will not be accepted by anyone.
 - An offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ with $q_h^{AA} < q < q_m^{AB}$ will be accepted only by AA borrowers, who will then be topped up to a large loan in stage 2, making this loan an expected loser.

- An offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ with $q > q_m^{AB}$ is accepted by AA and AB types, and thus is of course an expected loser. The AA types will be topped up to a large loan, and the AB types to a medium.
- 2. Medium loans
 - An offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ with $q < \min \{q_h^{AA}, \hat{q}_{m1}\}$ is not accepted by anyone. An AA borrower would obtain payoff $q\varphi e_m + q_h^{AB}\varphi(e_h e_m)$ which is smaller than her equilibrium payoff. An AB borrower would obtain $q\varphi e_m + q_h^{AB}(e_h e_m)$ which, given the definition of \hat{q}_{m1} , is smaller than her equilibrium payoff as well.
 - An offer with min $\{\hat{q}_{m1}, q_h^{AA}\} < q < \max\{\hat{q}_{m1}, q_h^{AA}\}\$ is accepted by only AB borrowers (if $\hat{q}_{m1} < q_h^{AA}$) or only by AA borrowers (if $q_h^{AA} < \hat{q}_{m1}$). In either case, the accepted offer yields negative expected profits for the lender. If only AB borrowers accept, the lender expects to earn $-q + q_h^{AB}$ (per dollar of face value). From the definition of \hat{q}_{m1} , $(\hat{q}_{m1} q_h^{AB})e_m = q_m^{AB}e_m q_h^{AB}e_h \ge 0$ where the inequality follows from Condition 1 (ii). Hence, $q \ge q_h^{AB}$ so the offer yields negative expected profits. If only AA borrowers accept, the lender expects to earn $-q + q_h^{AB}$ (per dollar of face value), which necessarily earns negative expected profits.
 - An offer with $q \ge \max{\{\hat{q}_{m1}, q_h^{AA}\}}$ attracts both AA and AB borrowers and so necessarily loses money on both AA and AB borrowers using the previous argument.
- 3. Large loans
 - An offer with $(\varphi e_h, q)$ is accepted by AA borrowers if and only if $q \ge q_h^{AA}$ in which case the offer loses money from AA borrowers.
 - An offer with $(\varphi e_h, q)$ is accepted by AB borrowers if and only if $qe_h \ge q_m^{AB}e_m$. Condition 1 (ii) implies $q_m^{AB}e_m \ge q_h^{AB}e_h$ so that $q \ge q_h^{AB}$. As a result, the offer loses money from AB borrowers.
 - Since any offer (φe_h, q) that is accepted loses money on all types that accept it, A lenders cannot profit by offering large loans in stage 1.
- B-lenders: do they want to offer anything in stage 1?
 - 1. Small loans
 - A loan with $q < \min \left\{ \hat{q}_{\ell}, q_{h}^{AA} \right\}$ is not accepted by anyone.
 - An offer with $\min\{q_h^{AA}, \hat{q}_\ell\} < q < \max\{q_h^{AA}, \hat{q}_\ell\}$ is accepted by only BB borrowers (if $\hat{q}_\ell < q_h^{AA}$) or only by AB borrowers (if $q_h^{AA} < \hat{q}_\ell$). In either

case the accepted offer yields negative profits. If only BB borrowers accept, the lender expects to earn $-q + q_m^{BB}$ (per dollar of face value). Using Condition 1 (iv), the definition of \hat{q}_ℓ implies $\hat{q}_\ell \ge q_m^{BB}$, so the offer is unprofitable. If only AB borrowers accept, the lender expects to earn $-q + q_h^{AB}$ but $q \ge q_h^{AA} \ge q_h^{AB}$ so the offer is unprofitable.

- An offer with q ≥ max{q_h^{AA}, ĝ_l} is accepted by both AB and BB borrowers and so necessarily loses money on both AA and AB borrowers using the previous argument.
- 2. Medium loans
 - A loan with $q < \hat{q}_{m2}$ and $q < \min\{\hat{q}_{m1}, q_h^{AA}\}$ is not accepted by any borrowers.
 - A loan with $q \ge \hat{q}_{m2}$ is accepted by BB borrowers and necessarily loses money on BB borrowers. Expected profits (per dollar face value) is $-q + q_h^{BB}$. From the definition of \hat{q}_{m2} , $(\hat{q}_{m2} - q_h^{BB})e_m = \bar{q}e_\ell - q_h^{BB}e_h$. Condition 1 (iv) implies $\bar{q}e_\ell \ge q_h^{BB}e_h$ and hence this loan loses money.
 - A loan with $q \ge \min \{\hat{q}_{m1}, q_h^{AA}\}$ is accepted by AB borrowers and necessarily loses money on AB borrowers. Expected profits (per dollar face value) is $-q + q_h^{AB}$. The definition of \hat{q}_{m1} and Condition 1 (ii) immediately implies $\hat{q}_{m1} > q_h^{AB}$. Since $q_h^{AA} \ge q_h^{AB}$, it follows that $q_h^{AB} \le \min \{\hat{q}_{m1}, q_h^{AA}\}$ so the offer necessarily loses money.
- 3. Large loans
 - An offer with $(\varphi e_h, q)$ is accepted by AB borrowers if and only if $qe_h \ge q_m^{AB}$. Condition 1 (ii) implies $q_m^{AB}e_m \ge q_h^{AB}e_h$ so that $q \ge q_h^{AB}$. As a result, the offer loses money from AB borrowers.
 - An offer with (φe_h, q) is accepted by BB borrowers if and only if qe_h ≥ qe_l.
 By Condition 1 (iv), qe_l ≥ q_h^{BB}e_h so that q ≥ q_h^{BB} and hence this offer loses money.
 - Since any offer (φe_h, q) that is accepted loses money on all types that accept it, B lenders cannot profit by offering large loans in stage 1.

This completes our characterization of this equilibrium.

F.4 Equilibrium Outcome 2: lmh with Cross-Subsidization

We construct an equilibrium with terminal loans φe_{ℓ} , φe_{m} , φe_{h} for BB-, AB-, and AAborrowers, respectively, in which both AA- and AB-borrowers accept loans in the first stage. We then establish a set of sufficient conditions for it to be an equilibrium. We construct the equilibrium as follows.

F.4.1 On-Path Actions

Stage 1:

- G-class A-lenders offer φe_{ℓ} at $q^A = Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}$;
- F-class A-lenders offer φe_{ℓ} at q_m^{AB} ;
- B-lenders offer nothing;
- AA-borrowers accept a loan from a G-class lender;
- AB-borrowers accept a loan from an A-lender.

Stage 2:

- F-class A-lenders who observe stage-1 loan of $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q^A)$ from a G-class lender offer $\varphi(e_h e_{\ell})$ at q_h^{AA} ;
- Accepted-class A-lenders and B-lenders who see stage-1 loan of $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q^A)$ or $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q^{AB}_m)$ offer $\varphi(e_m e_{\ell})$ at q^{AB}_m ;
- B-lender who sees no loan offers φe_{ℓ} at $q = \bar{q}$.

F.4.2 Equilibrium Payoffs

The payoffs to borrowers in equilibrium are as follows:

- AA-borrowers: $\varphi e_{\ell} q^{A} + \varphi (e_{h} e_{\ell}) q_{h}^{AA}$;
- AB-borrowers with A signals from G-class lenders: $\varphi e_{\ell}q^{A} + (e_{m} e_{\ell})q_{m}^{AB}$;
- AB-borrowers with A signals from F-class lenders: $\varphi e_m q_m^{AB}$;
- BB-borrowers: $\varphi e_{\ell} \overline{q}$.

F.4.3 Equilibrium Conditions

Before we proceed with construction of beliefs and (off-path) strategies, we state necessary conditions on the model parameters so that our constructed equilibrium candidate is indeed an equilibrium. We later show that these conditions together with Assumption 1 are sufficient to ensure that relevant incentive constraints are satisfied. **Condition 2** Suppose that the model parameters satisfy

(i)

$$\frac{\delta\alpha(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2+(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}>1-\delta(1-\alpha),$$

or, equivalently, $q_h^{AA} > q_m^{AB}$. Note that this condition is the reverse of Condition 1 (i) and is a sufficient condition for Condition 1 (v).

(ii)

$$[1-\delta(1-\alpha)](e_{\mathfrak{m}}-e_{\ell}) \geqslant \delta\alpha(e_{\mathfrak{h}}-e_{\ell}),$$

or, equivalently, $q_m^{AB}(e_m - e_\ell) \ge q_h^{AB}(e_h - e_\ell)$. Note that this is the same condition as Condition 1 (ii).

(iii)

$$\frac{\alpha(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2} (e_{\rm h} - e_{\ell}) \ge \left[1 - \delta \frac{\alpha(1-\rho)^2}{\alpha(1-\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2}\right] (e_{\rm m} - e_{\ell}),$$

or, equivalently, $q_h^{AA}(e_h - e_\ell) \ge q_m^{AA}(e_m - e_\ell)$. Note that this is the same condition as Condition 1 (iii).

(iv)

$$e_{\ell} \geqslant \left(1 - \delta + \delta \frac{\alpha(1-\rho)^2}{\alpha(1-\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2}\right) e_{\mathrm{m.s}}$$

or, equivalently, $\bar{q}e_{\ell} \ge q_{m}^{BB}e_{m}$. Note that by Assumption 1 (ii) and Corollary 1 we also have $q_{m}^{BB}e_{m} \ge q_{h}^{BB}e_{h}$. Combining, we have $\bar{q}e_{\ell} \ge \max \{q_{m}^{BB}e_{m}, q_{h}^{BB}e_{h}\}$. Note that this is the same condition as Condition 1 (iv).

F.4.4 Beliefs

We classify out-of-equilibrium histories and beliefs based on the size of the stage-1 loan and the class of the lenders who made the loan.

1. Small Loans

- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from a G-class lender.
 - Beliefs of G-class lenders when $\sigma^{G}=A$ are

$$Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} Pr(AA|A) & \text{ if } q \geqslant q^{A}, \\ 0 & \text{ if } q < q^{A}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of G-class lenders when $\sigma^{G}=B$ are

$$Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} Pr(AB|A) & \text{ if } q > q_{m}^{AB}, \\ 0 & \text{ if } q \leqslant q_{m}^{AB}; \end{cases}$$

- Beliefs of F-class lenders are

$$\Pr(\sigma^G = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{ if } q \geqslant q^A, \\ 0 & \text{ if } q < q^A. \end{cases}$$

- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from an F-class lender.
 - Beliefs of G-class lenders are

$$\Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \ge q_{m}^{AB}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < q_{m}^{AB}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of F-class lenders when $\sigma^F=A$ are

$$Pr(\sigma^{G} = A) = \begin{cases} Pr(AA|A) & \text{ if } q > q^{A}, \\ 0 & \text{ if } q \leqslant q^{A}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of F-class lenders when $\sigma^F=B$ are

$$\label{eq:pr} Pr(\sigma^G = A) = \begin{cases} Pr(AB|B) & \text{ if } q \geqslant q^A, \\ 0 & \text{ if } q < q^A. \end{cases}$$

2. Medium Loans

Define

$$\tilde{q}_{m1}: \quad \tilde{q}_{m1}e_m + q_h^{AA}(e_h - e_m) = q^A e_\ell + q_h^{AA}(e_h - e_\ell), \tag{A11}$$

 $\tilde{q}_{m2}: \quad \tilde{q}_{m2}e_m + q_h^{AA}(e_h - e_m) = q_m^{AB}e_m, \tag{A12}$

$$\tilde{q}_{m3}: \quad \tilde{q}_{m3}e_m + q_h^{AB}(e_h - e_m) = q^A e_\ell + q_m^{AB}(e_m - e_\ell),$$
 (A13)

$$\tilde{q}_{m4}: \quad \tilde{q}_{m4}e_m + q_h^{AB}(e_h - e_m) = q_m^{AB}e_m, \tag{A14}$$

$$\tilde{q}_{m5}: \quad \tilde{q}_{m5}e_m + q_h^{AA}(e_h - e_m) = q^A e_\ell + q_m^{AB}(e_m - e_\ell).$$
 (A15)

• Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from a G-class lender.

– Beliefs of G-class lenders when $\sigma^G = A$ are

$$Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} Pr(AA|A) & \text{ if } q \geqslant \tilde{q}_{\mathfrak{m}1}, \\ 0 & \text{ if } q < \tilde{q}_{\mathfrak{m}1}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of G-class lenders when $\sigma^{G}=B$ are

$$Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} Pr(AB|B) & \text{if } q > \tilde{q}_{m2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \leqslant \tilde{q}_{m2}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of F-class lenders when $\sigma^F=A$ are

$$\Pr(\sigma^{G} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \ge \tilde{q}_{m1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < \tilde{q}_{m1}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of F-class lenders when $\sigma^F=B$ are

$$\Pr(\sigma^{G} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \ge \tilde{q}_{m3}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < \tilde{q}_{m3}. \end{cases}$$

- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from an F-class lender.
 - Beliefs of G-class lenders when $\sigma^{G}=A$ are

$$Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \geqslant \tilde{q}_{\mathfrak{m}1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < \tilde{q}_{\mathfrak{m}1}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of G-class lenders when $\sigma^{G}=B$ are

$$\Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \ge \tilde{q}_{m4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < \tilde{q}_{m4}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of F-class lenders when $\sigma^F=A$ are

$$\Pr(\sigma^{G} = A) = \begin{cases} \Pr(AA|A) & \text{if } q \ge \tilde{q}_{\mathfrak{m}1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < \tilde{q}_{\mathfrak{m}1}; \end{cases}$$

– Beliefs of F-class lenders when $\sigma^F=B$ are

$$\Pr(\sigma^{G} = A) = \begin{cases} \Pr(AB|B) & \text{if } q \ge \tilde{q}_{\mathfrak{m}5}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < \tilde{q}_{\mathfrak{m}5}. \end{cases}$$

3. Large Loans

- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_h, q)$. Then lenders' beliefs in this scenario going forward are irrelevant.
- 4. No Loans

$$\Pr(\sigma^- = A) = 0.$$

F.4.5 Strategies

We now describe strategies beginning with borrowers' strategies in Stage 1 given any sets of offers arising from a single lender's deviation.

Borrowers' (off-path) Strategies in Stage 1

Define

$$\tilde{q}_{h1}: \quad \tilde{q}_{h1}e_h = q^A e_\ell + q_h^{AA}(e_h - e_\ell), \tag{A16}$$

$$\tilde{q}_{h2}: \quad \tilde{q}_{h2}e_h = q_m^{AB}e_m, \tag{A17}$$

$$\tilde{q}_{h3}: \quad \tilde{q}_{h3}e_h = q^A e_\ell + q_m^{AB}(e_m - e_\ell). \tag{A18}$$

1. AA-Borrowers

Suppose a borrower observes at least (N - 1) offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q^A)$ from G-class lenders and at least (N - 1) offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q_m^{AB})$ from F-class lenders.

- Suppose one lender offers (φe_l, q) where q is not prescribed by the equilibrium. The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > q^A.
- Suppose one lender offers (φe_m, q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q ≥ q̃_{m1}.
- Suppose one lender offers (φe_h, q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q ≥ q
 _{h1}.

2. AB-Borrowers with A signals from G-class lenders

Suppose a borrower observes (N - 1) offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q^A)$ from G-class lenders and no offers from F-class lenders.

- Suppose one G-class lender offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ where $q \neq q^{A}$. The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if $q > q^{A}$.
- Suppose one G-class lender offers (φe_m, q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > q̃_{m3}.
- Suppose one G-class lender offers (φe_h , q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > \tilde{q}_{h3} .

Suppose a borrower observes N offers ($\varphi e_{\ell}, q^A$) from G-class lenders and one offer from an F-class lender.

• If the F-class lender's offer is $(\phi e_{\ell}, q)$, the borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if $q > max\{q_m^{AB}, \tilde{q}_{l1}\}$ where

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_{\ell 1} e_{\ell} + \mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{AA}(e_{\mathfrak{h}} - e_{\ell}) = \mathfrak{q}^{A} e_{\ell} + \mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}(e_{\mathfrak{m}} - e_{\ell}).$$

- If the F-class lender's offer is $(\varphi e_m, q)$, the borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if $q > \max\{\tilde{q}_{m1}, \tilde{q}_{m5}\}$.⁶⁷
- If the F-class lender's offer is (φe_h, q), the borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > q
 _{h3}.

3. AB-Borrowers with A signals from F-class lenders

Suppose a borrower observes one offer from a G-class lender and N offers ($\varphi e_{\ell}, q_m^{AB}$) from F-class lenders.

- If the G-class lender offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$, the borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if $q > \tilde{q}_m^{AB}$.
- If the G-class lender offers (φe_m, q), the borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > min{q̃_{m4}, max{q̃_{m2}, q̃_{m1}}}.
- If the G-class lender offers (φe_h, q), the borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > q
 _{h2}.

⁶⁷Note, \tilde{q}_{m5} is the price the borrower would accept if he can obtain an AA-priced loan from the G-class lenders. However, a price \tilde{q}_{m1} is needed to ensure G-class lenders believe F-class lenders have an A signal.

Suppose a borrower observes no offers from G-class lenders and (N-1) offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q_m^{AB})$ from F-class lenders.

- Suppose one F-class lender offers $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ where $q \neq q_m^{AB}$. The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if $q > q_m^{AB}$.
- Suppose one F-class lender offers (φe_m , q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if $q > \min{\{\tilde{q}_{m1}, \tilde{q}_{m4}\}}$.⁶⁸
- Suppose one F-class lender offers (φe_h, q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > q
 _{h2}.
- 4. BB-Borrowers

Suppose a borrower observes at most one offer.

Suppose one lender offers (φe_l, q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > q
₁₂, where

$$\tilde{\mathsf{q}}_{\ell 2} \mathsf{e}_{\ell} + \mathsf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{BB}}(\mathsf{e}_{\mathfrak{m}} - \mathsf{e}_{\ell}) = \bar{\mathsf{q}} \mathsf{e}_{\ell}.$$

Suppose one lender offers (φe_m, q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > min{q̃_{m6}, max{q̃_{m4}, q̃_{m7}}}, where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathrm{m6}} e_{\mathrm{m}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{BB}}(e_{\mathrm{h}} - e_{\mathrm{m}}) &= \bar{\mathbf{q}} e_{\ell}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathrm{m7}} e_{\mathrm{m}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{AB}}(e_{\mathrm{h}} - e_{\mathrm{m}}) &= \bar{\mathbf{q}} e_{\ell}. \end{split}$$

Suppose one lender offers (φe_h, q). The borrower's strategy is to accept the deviation offer if and only if q > e_l/e_h.

Lenders' Strategies in Stage 2 We next describe lenders' strategies for any credit history in stage 2 (i.e. any information set of lenders in stage 2).

- 1. Small Loans
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from a G-class lender.
 - When G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = A$, they offer $(\phi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB})$.

 $^{{}^{68}\}tilde{q}_{m1}$ is the price needed to "fool" F-class lenders about the G-class lenders' signal. Note that $\tilde{q}_{m1} \ge \tilde{q}_{m2}$ so that if the borrower has "fooled" F-class lenders such a loan is worth accepting. \tilde{q}_{m4} is the price that justifies a BA borrower accepting the loan without "fooling" F-class lenders. In either case, the BA borrower would want to accept this loan.

- When G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = B$, they offer $(\phi(e_m e_{\ell}), q_m^{BB})$.
- Suppose F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = A$. If $q \ge q^{A}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{h} e_{\ell}), q_{h}^{AA})$ and if $q < q^{A}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{m} e_{\ell}), q_{m}^{AB})$.
- Suppose F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = B$. If $q \ge q^{A}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB})$, and if $q < q^{A}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{BB})$.
- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from an F-class lender.
 - Suppose G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = A$. If $q \ge q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{h}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{AA})$ and if $q < q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB})$.
 - Suppose G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = B$. If $q \ge q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB})$ and if $q < q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{BB})$.
 - When F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = A$, they offer $(\phi(e_{m} e_{\ell}), q_{m}^{AB})$.
 - When F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = B$, they offer $(\phi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{BB})$.

2. Medium Loans

- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from a G-class lender.
 - When G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = A$, they offer $(\phi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{AB})$.
 - When G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = B$, they offer $(\phi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{BB})$.
 - Suppose F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = A$. If $q \ge \tilde{q}_{m1}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{AA})$ and if $q < \tilde{q}_{m1}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{AB})$.
 - Suppose F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = B$. If $q \ge \tilde{q}_{m3}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{AB})$, and if $q < \tilde{q}_{m3}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{BB})$.
- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from an F-class lender.
 - Suppose G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = A$. If $q \ge \tilde{q}_{m1}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AA})$ and if $q < \tilde{q}_{m1}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB})$.
 - Suppose G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = B$. If $q \ge \tilde{q}_{m4}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB})$ and if $q < \tilde{q}_{m4}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{BB})$.
 - When F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = A$, they offer $(\phi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{AB})$.
 - When F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = B$, they offer $(\phi(e_{h} e_{m}), q_{h}^{BB})$.
- 3. Large Loans

If the borrower accepted a loan $(\varphi e_h, q)$ from any lender, all lenders offer (0, 0).

4. No Loans

If a lender has signal $\sigma = A$, they offer $(\varphi e_m, q_m^{AB})$. If a lender has signal $\sigma = B$, they offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, \bar{q})$.

Borrowers' Strategies in Stage 2 For any history and any set of loan offers in Stage 2, the borrower accepts the loan with the highest qx.

Features of pricing thresholds Under our above assumptions, it is useful to note a few relationships between the various thresholds characterizing the off-equilibrium-path beliefs.

Lemma 2 Suppose that Assumption 1 and Condition 2 are satisfied. Then the thresholds constructed in (A11)-(A18) satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\tilde{q}_{m1} > \tilde{q}_{m3} > \tilde{q}_{m4} > \tilde{q}_{m2}$
- (*ii*) $\tilde{q}_{m3} > \tilde{q}_{m5} > \tilde{q}_{m2}$,
- (*iii*) $\tilde{q}_{m1} > \Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + \Pr(AB|A)q_h^{AB}$,
- (*iv*) $\tilde{q}_{h1} \ge \tilde{q}_{h3}$.

Proof:

(i) Inequality $\tilde{q}_{m4} > \tilde{q}_{m2}$ follows from $q_h^{AA} > q_h^{AB}$. Inequality $\tilde{q}_{m3} > \tilde{q}_{m4}$ follows from Condition 2 (i) and the definition of $q^A = \Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}$. To show that $\tilde{q}_{m1} > \tilde{q}_{m3}$, rewrite (A11) and (A13) as

$$\tilde{q}_{m1}e_m = q^A e_\ell + q_h^{AA}(e_m - e_\ell),$$

$$\tilde{q}_{m3}e_m = q^A e_\ell + q_m^{AB}(e_m - e_\ell) - q_h^{AB}(e_h - e_m).$$

Using Condition 2 (i), we have $\tilde{q}_{m1} > \tilde{q}_{m3}$.

- (ii) Inequality $\tilde{q}_{m3} > \tilde{q}_{m5}$ follows from Condition 2 (i). Inequality $\tilde{q}_{m5} > \tilde{q}_{m2}$ follows from Condition 2 (i) and the definition of q^A .
- (iii) Using (A11),

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{m1} e_{m} + \mathbf{q}_{h}^{AA}(e_{h} - e_{m}) &= \mathbf{q}^{A} e_{\ell} + \mathbf{q}_{h}^{AA}(e_{h} - e_{\ell}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{m1} e_{m} &= \mathbf{q}^{A} e_{\ell} + \mathbf{q}_{h}^{AA}(e_{m} - e_{\ell}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{m1} e_{m} &= \left[\Pr(AA|A) \mathbf{q}_{h}^{AA} + \Pr(AB|A) \mathbf{q}_{m}^{AB} \right] e_{\ell} + \mathbf{q}_{h}^{AA}(e_{m} - e_{\ell}) \end{split}$$

Moreover, $Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB} < q_h^{AA}$ by Condition 2 (i). Hence, $\tilde{q}_{m1} > Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + Pr(AB|A)q_h^{AB}$.

(iv) Follows from Condition 2 (ii).

F.4.6 Incentives

We now verify that given Assumption 1 and Condition 2, the strategies and beliefs described above constitute an equilibrium.

Borrowers' Stage-1 Deviations Consider first possible deviations by borrowers in stage 1. We will show that part (i) of Condition 2 together with Assumption 1 preclude them.

1. An AA-borrower could reject the stage-1 loan. Accepting is optimal as long as

$$q^{A}e_{\ell} + q_{h}^{AA}(e_{h} - e_{\ell}) \geqslant q_{m}^{AB}e_{m}.$$
(A19)

Since $q^A = Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}$, Pr(AA|A) > Pr(AB|A), and $q_h^{AA} > q_m^{AB}$ by Condition 2 (i), we have

$$q^{A}e_{\ell}+q_{h}^{AA}(e_{h}-e_{\ell})>q_{m}^{AB}e_{\ell}+q_{m}^{AB}(e_{h}-e_{\ell})=q_{m}^{AB}e_{h}>q_{m}^{AB}e_{m}.$$

Thus (A19) holds.

2. An AB-borrower with a stage-1 offer from F-class lenders could reject it and either obtain (φe_m , q_m^{AB}) in stage 2 or obtain (φe_ℓ , \bar{q}) in stage 2. Accepting is optimal as long as

$$q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB} e_{\mathfrak{m}} \geqslant \bar{\mathfrak{q}} e_{\ell}. \tag{A20}$$

Note, since $q_m^{AB} = (1 - \delta(1 - \alpha))\bar{q}$, when $(1 - \delta(1 - \alpha))e_m > e_\ell$ as in Assumption 1 (i), this condition is satisfied.

3. An AB-borrower with a stage-1 offer from G-class lenders could reject it. Accepting is optimal as long as

$$q^{A}e_{\ell} + q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}(e_{\mathfrak{m}} - e_{\ell}) \geqslant \max\{q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}e_{\mathfrak{m}}, \bar{\mathfrak{q}}e_{\ell}\}.$$
(A21)

Under (A20), this incentive constraint reduces to $q^A \ge q_m^{AB}$ or $q_h^{AA} \ge q_m^{AB}$, which holds by Condition 2 (i).

Off-equilibrium path strategies specified above are constructed to be optimal for the borrower given prescribed continuation strategies. **Lenders' Stage-2 Deviations.** We now analyze possible deviations of lenders in stage 2 and show that they are not profitable given Condition 2 (ii)-(iv).

- 1. Small Loans
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from a G-class lender.
 - When G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = A$, they offer $(\phi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB})$;
 - * Any top-up to medium loan with $q \neq q_m^{AB}$ is either not accepted or unprofitable. Note, loans with $q > q_m^{AB}$ would be accepted with strictly positive probability but would earn negative expected profits. The reason is that for such prices with $q < q_h^{AA}$, only AB-borrowers accept making the loan unprofitable and at or above q_h^{AA} , both AA and AB's may accept making the loan unprofitable. Moreover, since borrowers in stage 2 accept the loan with the large qx, loan offers ($\varphi(e_m - e_\ell)$, q) with $q < q_m^{AB}$ are not accepted.
 - Any weakly profitable loan with a top up to e_h—and hence priced at most q_h^{AB}—is not accepted under Condition 2 (ii) which implies

$$q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}(e_{\mathfrak{m}}-e_{\ell}) \geqslant q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{AB}(e_{\mathfrak{h}}-e_{\ell}).$$

- When G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = B$, they offer $(\phi(e_m e_{\ell}), q_m^{BB})$;
 - * Any top-up to a medium loan with $q \neq q_m^{BB}$ is either not accepted or unprofitable.
 - Any weakly profitable loan with a top up to *e*_h is not accepted under Condition 2 (ii) which also implies

$$\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{BB}}(e_{\mathfrak{m}}-e_{\ell}) \geqslant \mathfrak{q}_{h}^{\mathrm{BB}}(e_{h}-e_{\ell}).$$

- Suppose F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = A$. If $q \ge q^{A}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{h} e_{\ell}), q_{h}^{AA})$ and if $q < q^{A}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{m} e_{\ell}), q_{m}^{AB})$.
 - * When $q \ge q^A$, any top-up to a large loan with $q \ne q_h^{AA}$ is either not accepted or unprofitable. Under Condition 2 (iii),

$$q_{h}^{AA}(e_{h}-e_{\ell}) \geqslant q_{m}^{AA}(e_{m}-e_{\ell}),$$

which implies any top-up to a weakly profitable medium loan is not accepted.

- * When $q < q^A$, any top-up to medium loan with $q \neq q_m^{AB}$ is either not accepted or unprofitable. Any weakly profitable loan with a top up to e_h again is not accepted under Condition 2 (ii).
- Suppose F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^F = B$. If $q \ge q^A$, they offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB})$, and if $q < q^A$, they offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{BB})$.
 - * When $q \ge q^A$, any top-up to a medium loan $q \ne q_m^{AB}$ is either not accepted or unprofitable. Condition 2 (ii) implies that any weakly profitable top-up to a large loan is not accepted.
 - * When $q < q^A$, any top-up to medium loan with $q \neq q_m^{BB}$ is either not accepted or unprofitable. Any weakly profitable loan with a top up to e_h again is not accepted under Condition 2 (ii).
- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from an F-class lender.
 - Suppose G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = A$. If $q \ge q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{h}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{AA})$ and if $q < q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{\mathfrak{m}} e_{\ell}), q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB})$.
 - Suppose G-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{G} = B$. If $q \ge q_{m}^{AB}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{m} e_{\ell}), q_{m}^{AB})$ and if $q < q_{m}^{AB}$, they offer $(\varphi(e_{m} e_{\ell}), q_{m}^{BB})$.
 - When F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^F = A$, they offer $(\phi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB})$.
 - When F-class lenders have signal $\sigma^{F} = B$, they offer $(\phi(e_{m} e_{\ell}), q_{m}^{BB})$.

These strategies are optimal under Conditions 2 (ii)-(iii). The arguments are analogous to those for when the borrower accepted a small loan from a G-class lender.

2. Medium Loans

Medium loans only occur off the equilibrium path. Note that all strategies in these histories involve topping up the borrower to a large loan that earns zero profits under the specified beliefs. Therefore, any deviation would either not be accepted or be unprofitable.

3. Large Loans

Trivially, offering any further loans would result in non-repayment and negative profits.

- 4. No Loans
 - If a lender has signal $\sigma = A$, they offer $(\varphi e_m, q_m^{AB})$;
 - Any medium loan with $q \neq q_m^{AB}$ is unprofitable or not accepted.

- Under Condition 2 (ii), any weakly profitable large loan is not accepted.
- Under Assumption 1, any weakly profitable small loan is not accepted.
- If a lender has signal $\sigma = B$, they offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, 1)$.
 - Any small loan with $q \neq 1$ is unprofitable or not accepted.
 - Under Condition 2 (iv), $\bar{q} \varphi e_{\ell} \ge q_m^{BB} \varphi e_m$, so that weakly profitable medium loans are not accepted.
 - Condition 2 (ii) and (iv) together imply any weakly profitable large loan is not accepted.

Lenders' Stage-1 Deviations. We now present conditions such that for each signal, lenders' stage-1 strategies are optimal.

- 1. G-class lender with an A Signal.
 - Any small loan at q ≠ q^A is either unprofitable or not accepted. At prices below q^A, borrowers do not accept. At prices above q^A, the offer would be accepted by both AAs and ABs. Such a loan necessarily loses money since it will be topped up in stage 2 in the same way as happens on the equilibrium path. Since the lender breaks even in equilibrium, offering higher prices must lose money.
 - From Lemma 2 $\tilde{q}_{m1} > \tilde{q}_{m3}$. As a result, medium loans with $q \leq \tilde{q}_{m3}$ are not accepted. Medium loans with $q \in (\tilde{q}_{m3}, \tilde{q}_{m1})$ are accepted only by AB-borrowers. Condition 2 (ii) and $q^A > q_m^{AB}$ imply $q^A e_\ell + q_m^{AB}(e_m e_\ell) > q_h^{AB}e_h$ or $\tilde{q}_{m3} > q_h^{AB}$. As a result, such a loan is accepted and yields negative expected profits. Medium loans with $q \geq \tilde{q}_{m1}$ are accepted by both AA and AB-borrowers. From Lemma 2, $\tilde{q}_{m1} > \Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + \Pr(AB|A)q_h^{AB}$. As a result, any such loan must yield negative expected profits.
 - From Lemma 2, large loans with $q < \tilde{q}_{h3}$ are not accepted. Large loans with $q \in [\tilde{q}_{h3}, \tilde{q}_{h1}]$ are accepted by only AB-borrowers. Since $\tilde{q}_{h3} > q_h^{AB}$ (same condition as for medium loans), such loans must be unprofitable. Large loans with $q \ge \tilde{q}_{h1}$ are accepted by both AA and AB-borrowers. Analogous to medium loans, $\tilde{q}_{h1} > \Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + \Pr(AB|A)q_h^{AB}$ so that any such loan must yield negative expected profits.
- 2. F-class lender with an A Signal.

- Any small loan at $q \neq q_m^{AB}$ is either unprofitable or not accepted. At prices below q_m^{AB} , borrowers do not accept. At prices above q_m^{AB} but below q^A , only AB-borrowers accept and the loan is unprofitable. At prices above q^A , both AA- and BA-borrowers will accept and the loan is unprofitable.
- From Lemma 2 $\tilde{q}_{m1} > \tilde{q}_{m4}$. Medium loans with $q \leq \tilde{q}_{m4}$ are not accepted. Medium loans with $q \in (\tilde{q}_{m4}, \tilde{q}_{m1})$ are accepted only by AB-borrowers. Condition 2 (ii) implies $\tilde{q}_{m4} > q_h^{AB}$ so that such loans are unprofitable. Medium loans with $q \geq \tilde{q}_{m1}$ are unprofitable as described when a G-class lender with an A signal offers such a loan.
- From Lemma 2, large loans with $q < \tilde{q}_{h2}$ are not accepted. Large loans with $q \in (\tilde{q}_{h2}, \tilde{q}_{h1})$ are accepted by only AB-borrowers. Condition 2 (ii) implies $\tilde{q}_{h2} > q_h^{AB}$ so that such loans must be unprofitable. Large loans with $q \ge \tilde{q}_{h1}$ are accepted by both AA- and AB-borrowers. Analogous to large loans, $\tilde{q}_{h1} > \Pr(AA|A)q_h^{AA} + \Pr(AB|A)q_h^{AB}$ so that any such loan must yield negative expected profits.
- 3. Lender with a B Signal.
 - Any small loan with $q < q_{12}$ is accepted by no borrowers. Any small loan with $q \in [q_{12}, q_m^{AB})$ (if such an interval exists) is accepted only by a BB-borrower and must lose money (whether the BB type tops up to a large or a medium loan) because $q_{12} > q_m^{BB}$, which follows from Condition 2 (iv). For any $q > q_m^{AB}$, the loan is accepted by BB-borrowers only or by AB- and BB-borrowers and these borrowers obtain a top up to a medium loan (or more) in stage 2. Such loans must earn negative profits.
 - Medium loans attract BB-borrowers if $q > \min\{\tilde{q}_{m4}, \tilde{q}_{m6}\}\)$. The lowest price loans that attract AB-borrowers satisfy $q > \tilde{q}_{m4}$. If the loan only attracts BB-borrowers, since $\min\{\tilde{q}_{m4}, \tilde{q}_{m6}\} > q_h^{BB}\)$, the loan must earn negative profits. If the loan attracts both BB and AB-borrowers, since $\tilde{q}_{m4} > q_h^{AB} > \Pr(AB|B)q_h^{AB} + \Pr(BB|B)q_h^{BB}\)$, the loan must earn negative profits.
 - Since $e_{\ell} > q_h^{BB} e_h$, large loans that attract only BB-borrowers must be unprofitable. Large loans must offer $q > \tilde{q}_{h2}$ to attract AB-borrowers. Since $\tilde{q}_{h2} > q_h^{AB}$, the loan earns negative profits (it attracts AB- and BB-borrowers).

This completes our characterization of this equilibrium.

F.5 Equilibrium Outcome 3: No Credit-History Building

We construct an equilibrium with no information aggregation. No offers are made (or accepted) in this equilibrium in stage 1. We then establish a set of sufficient conditions for it to be an equilibrium. We construct the equilibrium as follows.

F.5.1 On-Path Actions

- Stage 1:
 - Lenders make no offers (borrowers have no available action).
- Stage 2:
 - G-class A-lenders offer φe_m at $q_m^A = Pr(AA|A)q_m^{AA} + Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}$;
 - F-class A-lenders offer φe_m at q_m^{AB} ;
 - B-lenders offer φe_{ℓ} at \bar{q} ;
 - Borrowers accept the contract that yields the largest loan advance.

F.5.2 Equilibrium Payoffs

The payoffs to borrowers in equilibrium are as follows:

- AA-borrowers: $\varphi e_m q_m^A$;
- AB-borrowers with A signals from G-class lenders: $\varphi e_m q_m^A$;
- AB-borrowers with A signals from F-class lenders: $\varphi e_m q_m^{AB}$;
- BB-borrowers: $\varphi e_{\ell} \overline{q}$.

F.5.3 Equilibrium Conditions

Before we proceed with construction of beliefs and (off-path) strategies, we state necessary conditions on the model parameters so that our constructed equilibrium candidate is indeed an equilibrium. We later show that these conditions together with Assumption 1 are sufficient to ensure that relevant incentive constraints are satisfied.

Condition 3 Suppose that the model parameters satisfy

(i)

$$\frac{\delta\alpha(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2+(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2}\leqslant 1-\delta(1-\alpha),$$

or, equivalently, $q_h^{AA} \leqslant q_m^{AB}$. Note that this is the same condition as part (i) of Condition 1.

(ii)

$$[1-\delta(1-\alpha)](e_{\mathfrak{m}}-e_{\ell}) \geqslant \delta\alpha(e_{\mathfrak{h}}-e_{\ell}),$$

or, equivalently, $q_m^{AB}(e_m - e_\ell) \ge q_h^{AB}(e_h - e_\ell)$. Note that this is the same condition as part (ii) of Conditions 1 and 2.

(iii)

$$\frac{\alpha(1+\rho)^2}{\alpha(1+\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^2} (e_{\rm h} - e_{\ell}) \geqslant \left[1 - \delta \frac{\alpha(1-\rho)^2}{\alpha(1-\rho)^2 + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^2} \right] (e_{\rm m} - e_{\ell}),$$

or, equivalently, $q_h^{AA}(e_h - e_\ell) \ge q_m^{AA}(e_m - e_\ell)$. Note that this is the same condition as part (iii) of Conditions 1 and 2.

(iv)

$$e_{\ell} \geqslant \left(1 - \delta + \delta \frac{\alpha(1 - \rho)^2}{\alpha(1 - \rho)^2 + (1 - \alpha)(1 + \rho)^2}\right) e_{\mathrm{m}},$$

or, equivalently, $\bar{q}e_{\ell} \ge q_{m}^{BB}e_{m}$. Note that by Assumption 1 (ii) and Corollary 1 we also have $q_{m}^{BB}e_{m} \ge q_{h}^{BB}e_{h}$. Combining, we have $\bar{q}e_{\ell} \ge \max \{q_{m}^{BB}e_{m}, q_{h}^{BB}e_{h}\}$. Note that this is the same condition as part (iv) of Conditions 1 and 2.

(v)

$$q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{A}(e_{\mathfrak{m}}-e_{\ell}) \geqslant q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{A}(e_{\mathfrak{h}}-e_{\ell}), \qquad (A22)$$

where

$$q_{m}^{A} = \Pr(AA|A) \left[1 - \delta \frac{\alpha(1-\rho)^{2}}{\alpha(1-\rho)^{2} + (1-\alpha)(1+\rho)^{2}} \right] + \Pr(AB|A)[1-\delta(1-\alpha)],$$
(A23)

$$q_{h}^{A} = \Pr(AA|A)q_{h}^{AA} + \Pr(AB|A)q_{h}^{AB}$$
$$= \Pr(AA|A)\frac{\alpha(1+\rho)^{2}}{\alpha(1+\rho)^{2} + (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)^{2}} + \Pr(AB|A)\delta\alpha,$$
(A24)

and Pr(AA|A) and Pr(AB|A) are given by (A6)–(A7). Notice that (A22) implies

$$q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{A}e_{\mathfrak{m}} > q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{A}e_{\mathfrak{h}}. \tag{A25}$$

(vi)

$$\left[\Pr(AA|A)q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{A} + \Pr(AB|A)q_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB} \right] e_{\mathfrak{m}} \geqslant q_{\mathfrak{h}}^{A}e_{\mathfrak{h}A}$$

where $q_m^{AB} = 1 - \delta(1 - \alpha)$, q_m^A and q_h^A are given by (A23)–(A24), and Pr(AA|A) and Pr(AB|A) are given by (A6)–(A7).

F.5.4 Beliefs

Beliefs of borrowers after offers are made in stage 1.

- If the borrower observes an offer from a G-class lender, then the borrower believes $Pr(\sigma^G = A) = 1.$
- If the borrower observes an offer from an F-class lender, then the borrower believes $Pr(\sigma^F = A) = 1.$

Beliefs of lenders at the end of stage 1. Note, for any accepted deviation loan in stage 1, beliefs of lenders in the same class as the lender who made the loan do not change. That is, for this class of lenders, beliefs are $Pr(\sigma^- = A) = Pr(\sigma^- = A|\sigma)$.

- 1. Small loans.
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\phi e_\ell,q)$ from a G-class lender.

- Beliefs of F-class lenders are
$$Pr(\sigma^{G} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \ge q_{m}^{A}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < q_{m}^{A}. \end{cases}$$

• Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from an F-class lender.

- Beliefs of G-class lenders are
$$\Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \ge q_{m}^{AB}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < q_{m}^{AB}. \end{cases}$$

- Lenders do not update their beliefs about the other class' signal if the offer of a lender from their class was accepted.
- 2. Medium loans.
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from a G-class lender.

- Beliefs of F-class lenders are
$$Pr(\sigma^{G} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \ge q_{m}^{A}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < q_{m}^{A}. \end{cases}$$

• Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from an F-class lender.

– Beliefs of G-class lenders are

$$Pr(\sigma^{F} = A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \ge Pr(AA|A)q_{m}^{A} + Pr(AB|A)q_{m}^{AB}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q < Pr(AA|A)q_{m}^{A} + Pr(AB|A)q_{m}^{AB}. \end{cases}$$

- Lenders do not update their beliefs about the other class' signal if the offer of a lender from their class was accepted.
- 3. *Large loans*. Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan (φe_h , q). Then lenders' beliefs in this scenario going forward are irrelevant.

F.5.5 Strategies.

Stage-1 strategies of borrowers after offers are made in stage 1.

- 1. Small loans.
 - Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from an G-class lender.
 - The borrower accepts if $q \ge q_{m'}^A$ rejects otherwise.
 - Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\phi e_\ell,q)$ from an F-class lender. Define \check{q}_ℓ by

$$\begin{split} \check{\mathbf{q}}_{\ell} e_{\ell} &+ \Pr(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{A})\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}}(e_{\mathbf{h}} - e_{\ell}) + \Pr(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}|\mathbf{A})\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}}(e_{\mathbf{m}} - e_{\ell}) \\ &= \left(\Pr(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{A})\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{A}} + \Pr(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}|\mathbf{A})\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}}\right)e_{\mathbf{m}}. \end{split}$$

– The borrower accepts if $q \ge \max \{\check{q}_{\ell}, q_m^{AB}\}$, rejects otherwise. Note that $\check{q}_{\ell} > q_m^{AB}$ and thus $\max \{\check{q}_{\ell}, q_m^{AB}\} = \check{q}_{\ell}$. Indeed, by Condition 3 (iii) and $q_m^{AA} > q_m^A$,

$$\begin{split} \check{\mathsf{q}}_{\ell} e_{\ell} + \left(\Pr(AA|A) \mathsf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{A} + \Pr(AB|A) \mathsf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB} \right) (e_{\mathfrak{m}} - e_{\ell}) > \left(\Pr(AA|A) \mathsf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{A} + \Pr(AB|A) \mathsf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB} \right) e_{\mathfrak{m}}, \\ \check{\mathsf{q}}_{\ell} > \Pr(AA|A) \mathsf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{A} + \Pr(AB|A) \mathsf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB} > \mathsf{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{AB}. \end{split}$$

- 2. Medium loans.
 - Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from a G-lender.
 - The borrower accepts the offer if and only if $q \ge \check{q}_m^G$, where

$$\check{\mathfrak{q}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathsf{G}} e_{\mathfrak{m}} + \mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathsf{A}}(e_{\mathfrak{h}} - e_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathsf{A}} e_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

• Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from a F-lender.

– The borrower accepts the offer if and only if $q \ge \check{q}_{\mathfrak{m}'}^{\mathsf{F}}$ where

$$\check{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathsf{F}} e_{\mathfrak{m}} + \mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathsf{A}}(e_{\mathfrak{h}} - e_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \left(\Pr(\mathsf{A}\mathsf{A}|\mathsf{A})\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathsf{A}} + \Pr(\mathsf{A}\mathsf{B}|\mathsf{A})\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{B}} \right) e_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

3. Large loans.

- Suppose the borrower observes an offer (φe_h, q) from a G-class lender. The borrower accepts the loan if and only if qe_h > q^A_me_m.
- Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_h, q)$ from an F-class lender. The borrower accepts the loan if and only if $qe_h > (\Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB})e_m$.

Stage-2 strategies of lenders.

- 1. Small loans.
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan (φe_ℓ, q) with q ≥ q^A_m from a G-class lender.
 - G-class A-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB});$
 - G-class B-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{BB})$ or nothing;
 - F-class A-lender offers $(\varphi(e_h e_\ell), q_h^{AA});$
 - F-class B-lender offers $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB})$.
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ with $q < q_m^A$ from a G-class lender.
 - G-class A-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^A)$;
 - G-class B-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{BB});$
 - F-class A-lender offers $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB});$
 - F-class B-lender offers $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{BB})$.
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ with $q \ge q_m^{AB}$ from an F-class lender.
 - G-class A-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_h e_l), q_h^{AA})$;
 - G-class B-lenders offer $(\phi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB});$
 - F-class A-lender offers $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB});$
 - F-class B-lender offers $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{BB})$ or nothing.

- Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan ($\varphi e_{\ell}, q$) with $q < q_m^{AB}$ from an F-class lender.
 - G-class A-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{AB});$
 - G-class B-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^{BB});$
 - F-class A-lender offers $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^A)$;
 - F-class B-lender offers ($\varphi(e_m e_\ell)$, q_m^{BB}).
- 2. Medium loans.
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan (φe_m, q) with q ≥ q^A_m from a G-class lender.
 - G-class A-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB});$
 - G-class B-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{BB})$ or nothing;
 - F-class A-lender offers $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AA});$
 - F-class B-lender offers ($\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB}$).
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ with $q < q_m^A$ from a G-class lender.
 - G-class A-lenders offer $(\phi(e_h e_m), q_h^A)$;
 - G-class B-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{BB});$
 - F-class A-lender offers ($\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB}$);
 - F-class B-lender offers $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{BB})$.
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ with $q \ge (\Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB})$ from an F-class lender.
 - G-class A-lenders offer $(\phi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AA})$;
 - G-class B-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB});$
 - F-class A-lender offers ($\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB}$);
 - F-class B-lender offers $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{BB})$ or nothing.
 - Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ with $q < (Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB})$ from an F-class lender.
 - G-class A-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB});$
 - G-class B-lenders offer $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{BB});$
 - F-class A-lender offers $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^A)$;
 - F-class B-lender offers $(\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{BB})$.

3. *Large loans*. Suppose the borrower has accepted a loan $(\varphi e_h, q)$ in stage 1. Then lenders make no offers in stage 2.

F.5.6 Incentives.

Borrower's Stage-1 Deviations. Strategies of borrowers after offers are made in stage 1 are optimal given the specified continuation strategies of the lenders.

- 1. Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from a G-class lender.
 - It is optimal for the borrower to accept the offer if q ≥ q^A_m. After doing so in stage 1, she believes she will receive (and accept) either an offer (φ(e_h e_ℓ), q^{AA}_h) from an F-class A-lender or an offer (φ(e_m e_ℓ), q^{AB}_m) from an F-class B-lender (or a G-lender). These follow from Condition 3 (ii) and (iii). Should she reject this stage 1 offer, she believes G-class lenders have an A signal and therefore will offer (φe_m, q^A_m). Condition 3 (iii) ensures that for all q ≥ q^A_m, the borrower optimally accepts this stage 1 offer.
 - It is optimal for the borrower to reject the offer if $q < q_m^A$. Should she accept this stage 1 offer, G-class lenders (who she believes have an A signal) will offer $(\varphi(e_m e_\ell), q_m^A)$. The fact that these lenders will top her up to a medium rather than a large loan follows from Condition 3 (v). Instead, if she rejects the offer, the same G lenders will offer $(\varphi e_m, q_m^A)$ in the second stage. Since $q < q_m^A$, the borrower optimally rejects this stage 1 offer.
- 2. Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_{\ell}, q)$ from an F-class Lender.
 - It is optimal for the borrower to accept the offer if $q \ge \check{q}_{\ell}$. After doing so in stage 1, she believes she will receive (and accept) either an offer ($\varphi(e_h - e_{\ell}), q_h^{AA}$) from a G-class A-lender or an offer ($\varphi(e_m - e_{\ell}), q_m^{AB}$) from a G-class B-lender (or an F-lender). These follow from Condition 3 (ii) and (iii). Should she reject this stage 1 offer, she believes F-class lenders have an A signal and therefore will offer ($\varphi e_m, q_m^{AB}$), and if G-class lenders also have an A signal, she will receive and accept an offer ($\varphi e_m, q_m^A$). Thus the definition of \check{q}_{ℓ} ensures for any $q \ge \check{q}_{\ell}$, the borrower optimally accepts this stage 1 offer.
 - It is optimal for the borrower to reject the offer if $q < \check{q}_{\ell}$. For any $q \in [q_m^{AB}, \check{q}_{\ell})$, the borrower's continuation payoffs from accepting or rejecting this stage 1 offer are the same as the case when $q \ge \check{q}_{\ell}$. Hence, by the definition of \check{q}_{ℓ} , she optimally rejects such offers. Continuation payoffs from accepting this stage 1

offer when $q < q_m^{AB}$ are even lower, and it is thus optimal to reject such offers. Specifically, if a borrower accepts a stage 1 loan with $q < q_m^{AB}$ from an F-class lender, she believes she will receive an offer $(\varphi(e_m - e_\ell), q_m^{AB})$ in the second stage (with no chance of receiving an offer $(\varphi(e_h - e_\ell), q_h^{AA})$ which yields larger payoffs under Condition 3 (iii)).

- 3. Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from a G-class lender.
 - It is optimal for the borrower to accept the offer if $q \ge \check{q}_m^G$. Note the definition of \check{q}_m^G immediately implies $\check{q}_m^G \le q_m^A$. After accepting the offer in stage 1, if $q \in [\check{q}_m^G, q_m^A)$, then the borrower believes she will receive (and accept) an offer $(\varphi(e_h - e_m), q_h^A)$ from a G-class lender. If instead $q \ge q_m^A$, she believes she will receive (and accept) an offer $(\varphi(e_h - e_m), q_h^{AA})$ from an F-class lender with an A signal or an offer $(\varphi(e_h - e_m), q_h^{AB})$ from an F-class lender with a B signal or a G-class lender. In either case, her expected payoff from accepting the stage 1 loan is $\varphi q e_m + \varphi q_h^A(e_h - e_m)$. For $q \ge \check{q}_m^G$, this payoff is larger than $\varphi q_m^A e_m$, which is her expected payoff from rejecting the stage 1 offer, and hence, it must be optimal for the borrower to accept the stage 1 offer.
 - It is optimal for the borrower to reject the offer if $q < \check{q}_m^G$. Since $\check{q}_m^G < q_m^A$, should the borrower accept this stage 1 loan, she believe she will receive a payoff equal to $q\varphi e_m + q_h^A\varphi(e_h e_m)$. When $q < \check{q}_m^G$ this payoff is smaller than the payoff she receives from rejecting the loan, $q_m^A\varphi e_m$ and hence rejecting the offer is optimal.
- 4. Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_m, q)$ from an F-class lender.
 - It is optimal for the borrower to accept the offer if $q \ge \check{q}_m^F$. Note that the definition of \check{q}_m^F immediately implies $\check{q}_m^F \le \Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}$. After accepting the offer in stage 1, if $q \in [\check{q}_m^G, \Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB})$, then the borrower believes she will receive (and accept) an offer ($\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^A$) from an F-class lender. If instead $q \ge \Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}$, she believes she will receive (and accept) an offer ($\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AA}$) from a G-class lender with an A signal or an offer ($\varphi(e_h e_m), q_h^{AB}$) from a G-class lender with a B signal or an F-class lender. In either case, her expected payoff from accepting the stage 1 loan is $\varphi q e_m + \varphi q_h^A(e_h e_m)$. For $q \ge \Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}$, which is her expected payoff from rejecting the stage 1 offer, and hence, it is optimal for the borrower to accept the stage-1 offer.

- It is optimal for the borrower to reject the offer if $q < \check{q}_m^F$. Since $\check{q}_m^F < \Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}$, should the borrower accept this stage 1 loan, she believes she will receive a payoff equal to $q\varphi e_m + q_h^A\varphi(e_h e_m)$. When $q < \check{q}_m^F$ this payoff is smaller than the payoff she receives from rejecting the loan, $[\Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AI}]$ and hence rejecting the offer is optimal.
- 5. Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_{h}, q)$ from a G-class lender.
 - If the borrower accepts such an offer, she will receive no offers in the second stage. If she rejects, she believes she will receive an offer (φe_m, q^A_m) from G-lenders in the second stage. Hence, it is optimal to accept if and only if qφe_h > q^A_mφe_m.
- 6. Suppose the borrower observes an offer $(\varphi e_h, q)$ from an F-class lender.
 - If the borrower accepts such an offer, she will receive no offers in the second stage. If she rejects, she with either receive an offer (φe_m, q^A_m) from G-lenders or an offer (φe_m, q^{AB}_m) from F-lenders in the second stage. Hence, it is optimal to accept if and only if qφe_h > (Pr(AA|A)q^A_m + Pr(AB|A)q^{AB}_m) φe_m.

Lenders' Stage-1 Deviations.

- 1. Small loans.
 - A G-class lender has no incentive to offer φe_{ℓ} .
 - Any offer below q_m^A is not accepted. Any offer above q_m^A is accepted. If Flenders have an A signal, the borrower will be topped up to a large loan by Condition 3 (iii) and if they have a B signal the borrower will be topped up to a medium loan. This is implies that the probability of repayment of the loan is smaller than that priced into q_m^A . Hence, offers with prices $q \ge q_m^A$ earn negative expected profits.
 - An F-class lender has no incentive to offer φe_{ℓ} .
 - Any offer $q < \check{q}_{\ell}$ is not accepted. Any offer $q \ge \check{q}_{\ell}$ is accepted and earns negative expected profits. If the F-class lender has an A signal, then the expected payoff (per dollar of face value) is $-q + Pr(AA|A)q_{h}^{AA} + Pr(AB|A)q_{m}^{AB} \le -q + q_{m}^{AB} \le 0$, where the first inequality follows from Condition 3 (i) and the second inequality follows from $\check{q}_{\ell} \ge q_{m}^{AB}$. If the F-class lender has a B

signal, then the expected payoff (per dollar of face value) is

$$\begin{aligned} -q + \Pr(AB|B)q_{h}^{AB} + \Pr(BB|B)q_{m}^{BB} \leqslant -q + \Pr(AB|B)q_{m}^{AB} + \Pr(BB|B)q_{m}^{AB} \\ \leqslant -q + q_{m}^{AB} \leqslant 0. \end{aligned}$$

- 2. Medium loans.
 - A G-class lender has no incentive to offer φe_m .
 - Any offer $q < \check{q}_m^G$ is not accepted. Offers with $q \ge \check{q}_m^G$ yield negative expected profits. If the G-class lender has an A signal, expected profits (per dollar of face value) of the stage 1 deviation loan are $-q + q_h^A$. Since $q \ge \check{q}_m^G$, the definition of \check{q}_m^G implies $(q q_h^A)e_m \ge q_m^Ae_m q_h^Ae_h$. Condition 3 (v) implies $q_m^Ae_m \ge q_h^Ae_h$ and thus $-q + q_h^A \le 0$. If the G-class lender has a B signal, their expected profits are weakly lower and hence also negative.
 - An F-class lender has no incentive to offer φe_m .
 - Any offer $q < \check{q}_m^F$ is not accepted. Offers with $q \ge \check{q}_m^F$ yield negative expected profits. If the F-class lender has an A signal, expected profits (per dollar of face value) of the stage 1 deviation loan are $-q + q_h^A$. Since $q \ge \check{q}_m^F$, the definition of \check{q}_m^F implies $(q q_h^A)e_m \ge [\Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}]e_m q_h^Ae_h$. By Condition 3 (vi), $[\Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + \Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}]e_m \ge q_h^Ae_h$ and thus $-q + q_h^A \le 0$. If the F-class lender has a B signal, their expected profits are weakly lower and hence also negative.
- 3. Large loans.
 - A G-class lender has no incentive to offer φe_h .
 - Any offer with $qe_h \leq q_m^A e_m$ is not accepted. Offers with $qe_h > q_m^A e_m$ would be accepted by all borrowers and yield negative expected profits. If the G-class lender as an A signal, expected profits (per dollar of face value) of the stage 1 deviation loan are $-q + q_h^A$. Since $qe_h > q_m^A e_m$ and Condition 3 (v) implies $q_m^A e_m \geq q_h^A e_h$, it follows that $qe_h > q_h^A e_h$. As a result, $-q + q_h^A < 0$. If the G-class lender has a B signal, their expected profits are weakly lower and hence also negative.
 - An F-class lender has no incentive to offer φe_h .

- Any offer with $qe_h \leq [Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}]e_m$ is not accepted. Offers with $qe_h > [Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}]e_m$ would be accepted by all borrowers and yield negative expected profits. If the F-class lender has an A signal, expected profits (per dollar of face value) of the stage 1 deviation loan are $-q + q_h^A$. By Condition 3 (vi), $[Pr(AA|A)q_m^A + Pr(AB|A)q_m^{AB}]e_m \geq q_h^Ae_h$, which implies that $qe_h > q_h^Ae_h$ so that the stage 1 offer earns negative expected profits. If the F-class lender has a B signal, their expected profits are weakly lower and hence also negative.

Borrower's Stage-2 Deviations. Trivially, accepting on-path stage-2 offers gives borrowers a strictly higher payoffs than rejecting them (recall that the lenders break even).

Lenders' Stage-2 Deviations. Stage-2 strategies of the lenders are optimal.

- 1. G-class lender with an A signal offers (φe_m , q_m^A).
 - The lender cannot improve profits by offering a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ with $q \neq q_m^A$. If the lender offers a price $q > q_m^A$, all borrowers would accept the offer but it would yield negative expected profits (since q_m^A yields zero expected profits). If the lender offers a price $q < q_m^A$, the borrower would accept the loan.
 - The lender cannot improve profits by offering a loan (φe_h , q). The highest price that a lender is willing to offer on a large loan is q_h^A . Condition 3 (v) implies that the borrower prefers (φe_m , q_m^A) to (φe_h , q_h^A), and so the lender does not have incentives to offer a large loan.
 - The lender cannot improve profits by offering a loan (φe_l, q). Assumption 1
 (i) implies that the borrower prefers (φe_m, q^A_m) to (φe_l, q
), and since offering q ≥ q
 q can never be profitable, the lender does not have incentives to offer the small loan.
- 2. F-class lender with an A signal offers (φe_m , q_m^{AB}).
 - The lender cannot improve profits by offering a loan $(\varphi e_m, q)$ with $q \neq q_m^{AB}$. If $q < q_m^{AB}$, the offer will not be accepted. If $q \in (q_m^{AB}, q_m^A)$, the offer would only be accepted by an AB borrower and hence earns negative expected profits $(q_m^{AB}$ is the zero profit price for these borrowers). If $q \ge q_m^A$, all borrowers would accept the offer but it would yield negative expected profits (since q_m^A yields zero expected profits when all borrowers accept).

- The lender cannot improve profits by offering a loan (φe_l, q) or (φe_h, q). The arguments are identical for those used for the G-class lender with an A signal.
- 3. Lenders with a B signal offer ($\varphi e_{\ell}, \bar{q}$). By Condition 3 (iv), the borrower prefers ($\varphi e_{\ell}, \bar{q}$) to ($\varphi e_m, q_m^{BB}$), and q_m^{BB} is the largest price that a lender with a B signal is willing to offer. So the lender has no incentives to deviate.

This completes our characterization of this equilibrium.